

## Theorems from Postulates:

Now that we have “laws” or better “postulates” we should explore what they imply about working q.m. problems -- Theorems (*Levine 7.2, 7.4*)

**Thm 1** -- eigen values of Hermitian operators are real (clearly this fits well with

Post. 2 restriction we need real observables

let  $A |i\rangle = a_i |i\rangle$

$$\langle i|A|i\rangle = \langle i|A|i\rangle^*$$

$$a_i |i\rangle = a_i^* |i\rangle$$

$$(a_i - a_i^*) \langle i|i\rangle = 0 \implies a_i = a_i^* \implies a_i \text{ real since } \langle i|i\rangle \text{ is positive definite}$$

**Thm 2** -- eigen function of a Hermitian operator can be chosen to be orthogonal

i.e.  $\int f g d\tau = 0$  for  $f \neq g$

$$\langle j|f\rangle = \langle i|g\rangle$$

$$a_i \langle j|i\rangle = a_j \langle i|j\rangle = a_j \langle i|j\rangle = a_j \langle j|i\rangle, a_i^* \rightarrow a_j \text{ since real, } \langle i|j\rangle \text{ flip order on complex conj.}$$

$$(a_i - a_j) \langle j|i\rangle = 0$$

either  $a_i = a_j$  (same state or degenerate) or orthogonal:  $\langle i|j\rangle = 0$

if  $|i\rangle, |j\rangle$  are degenerate can construct  $|1\rangle = c_i |i\rangle + c_j |j\rangle, |2\rangle = c_j |i\rangle - c_i |j\rangle$

$\langle 1|2\rangle = 0$ , orthogonal by construction and still are degenerate eigen functions

$$\text{i.e. } (c_i |i\rangle + c_j |j\rangle) = a_i (c_i |i\rangle + c_j |j\rangle)$$

Wednesday--August 29

Applications:

The wave function describing the state of a quantum mechanical system can be described as a superposition of in terms of eigen functions of an operator  $\hat{\alpha}$   $\{f_i\}$ , since they form a complete set

You have experience with this from

Taylor series: 
$$f(x-a) = \sum_n \frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{n!} (x-a)^n \quad \text{or} \quad f(x) = \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} \left. \frac{\partial^n f}{\partial x^n} \right|_0 x^n$$

so  $f(x)$  is represented as linear combination of  $x^n$  -- power series

More general is Fourier series : 
$$f(x) = \sum_n [s_n \sin(2\pi nx) + c_n \cos(2\pi nx)]$$

where expand in sine and cosine fcts or exponentials:  $b_n e^{-i 2\pi nx}$

in these cases:  $x^n$ ,  $\sin(2\pi nx)$ ,  $e^{-i 2\pi nx}$  form complete sets

(Note sin is odd, must add cos if parity not odd, eliminate sin if even)

So what are the coefficients? In Taylor :  $\frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!}$  but

in q.m. expand in a set  $\{g_i\}$  of eigen fct of operator :  $f(x) = \sum_i c_i g_i(x) = \sum_i c_i |i\rangle$

multiply. by  $g_j^*$  and integrate :  $\langle j|f\rangle = \sum_i c_i \langle j|i\rangle = \sum_i c_i \delta_{ij} = c_j$

Dirac delta function:  $\langle i|j\rangle = \delta_{ij} = 1 \quad i=j \quad = 0 \quad i \neq j$

so  $c_i = \langle i|f\rangle$  or  $f = \sum_i |i\rangle \langle i|f\rangle$ , Where  $|i\rangle \langle i|$  is projection operator picks out (projects) part of  $|f\rangle$  that lies along  $|i\rangle$  [analogous to dot (scalar) product from vector algebra]

**Thm 3** -- if  $\{g_i\}$  is set of eigen fcts of  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $f$  is also an eigen fct so that  $\hat{\alpha}f = af$  then if  $f = \sum_i c_i g_i$  the only non-zero  $c_i$  are for  $g_i$  which have eigen value of  $a$  (degen. with  $f$ ).

(Alternatively,  $f$  must be a linear combination of degenerate  $g_i$  with same eigenvalue)

$$\hat{\alpha}f = \hat{\alpha} \sum_i c_i g_i = \sum_i c_i a_i g_i = af = a \sum_i c_i g_i$$

$c_i = \langle f | g_i \rangle$  is only non-zero for  $f = g_i$  or  $f$ -degenerate with  $g_i$ , (otherwise  $\langle f | g_i \rangle = 0$ , orthog)

alternatively: if  $g_i$  are indep fct, only if  $a = a_i$  will  $c_i$  be non-zero:  $(a - a_i)c_i g_i = 0$ ,

### Commutation:

A commutator of operators  $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$  is  $[\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}] = \hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}\hat{\alpha}$

Note this is familiar:  $xp_x - p_x x = i\hbar$  from Post 2

Simple multiplicative or scalar operators commute -- this is your experience

derivative and matrix operators may or may not commute.

if  $[\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}] = 0$  we say it commutes

**Thm 4** -- if  $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$  are two operators that share a complete set of eigen fcts,  $[\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}] = 0$

???error simple proof not general: let  $\{f_i\}$  be eigen fct  $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$

$$\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}f_i = \hat{\alpha}b_i f_i = b_i \hat{\alpha}f_i = b_i a_i f_i = a_i (b_i f_i) = a_i \hat{\beta}f_i =$$

$$\hat{\beta}(a_i f_i) = \hat{\beta}\hat{\alpha}f_i \quad [\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}\hat{\alpha}]f_i = 0$$

book proof a little more general --  $g = \sum_i c_i f_i$  uses commutation of constants,  $a_i, b_i$

$$[\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}\hat{\alpha}]g = \sum_i c_i [\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}\hat{\alpha}]f_i = \sum_i c_i [\hat{\alpha}b_i - \hat{\beta}a_i]f_i = \sum_i c_i [b_i a_i - a_i b_i]f_i = 0$$

This theorem very powerful, lets us substitute eigen functions to determine properties.

Also this is uncertainty principle : if  $[\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}] = 0$

then we can determine observables corresponding to  $\hat{\alpha}$ ,  $\hat{\beta}$  with arbitrary accuracy

if not uncertainty relation tells measurement limitations

$$[x, p_x] = \left( x \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x \right) = i\hbar \quad \text{recall: operator must act on something:}$$

$(d/dx)xf(x) = f(x) + x(df(x)/dx) \rightarrow$  Chain rule differentiation leaves a noncancelling term

Reverse form particularly important

**Thm 5** -- if  $[\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}] = 0$ , there exists a common set  $\{f_i\}$  of eigen functions for both  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\beta}$

let  $\hat{\alpha}f_i = a_i f_i$  set of eigen functions

operate on eigen eqn:  $\hat{\beta}\hat{\alpha}f_i = \hat{\beta}a_i f_i = a_i(\hat{\beta}f_i) = \hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}f_i = \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\beta}f_i)$ , since commute

since equal, ( $f_i$ ) must be an eigen function  $\hat{\alpha}$

since ( $f_i$ ) and ( $f_j$ ) have same eigen value  $a_i$ , they must be degenerate or relate by const

(non-degenerate) i.e.  $f_j = b_j f_i$  or  $f_i$  must be an eigen fct of

Several general properties that you can prove: (*Atkins 5.4*)

$$[\hat{a}, \hat{a}] = -[\hat{a}, \hat{a}]$$

$$[\hat{a}, b\hat{a}] = b[\hat{a}, \hat{a}]$$

$$[\hat{a}, \hat{a}\hat{c}] = [\hat{a}, \hat{a}]\hat{c} + \hat{a}[\hat{a}, \hat{c}]$$

$$[\hat{a}, \hat{a} + \hat{c}] = [\hat{a}, \hat{a}] + [\hat{a}, \hat{c}]$$

$$[\hat{a}, [\hat{a}, \hat{c}]] = C - C - C + C$$

Commutation has form of uncertainty (recall  $x p_x - p_x x = i\hbar \iff x p_x \approx \hbar/2$ )

use expectation values  $\langle \hat{\alpha} \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{\alpha} | \psi \rangle$  and mean deviation:  $\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\alpha} - \langle \alpha \rangle$

since  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  is a constant:  $[\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha}] = [\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha}] = i\hat{C}$

let  $i\hat{C}$  represent result of commutator can be zero, a constant or operator

then  $\langle (\hat{\alpha})^2 \rangle \langle (\hat{\beta})^2 \rangle \geq \frac{1}{4} \langle \hat{C} \rangle^2$  from:  $\langle (\hat{\alpha})^2 \rangle = \langle \hat{\alpha}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{\alpha} \rangle^2$

define root mean square deviation:  $\sqrt{\langle \hat{\alpha}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{\alpha} \rangle^2} = \delta$

$\delta \delta \geq \frac{1}{2} \langle \hat{C} \rangle$   $\hat{C} = [\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}] / i$

from:  $[x, p_x] = i\hbar/2$ ,  $x p_x \approx \hbar/2$  (precise form) but  $[x, y] = [x, p_y] = 0 \rightarrow$  no uncertainty

Note:  $E \approx \hbar/4$  not a true uncertainty no true operator, actually from  $[x, p_x]$

but a consequence of time dependent Schroedinger Equation

**Parity** --(Levine 7.5)-- functions can be even or odd or neither.

even:  $f(x) = f(-x)$ ,  $f(x, y, z) = f(-x, -y, -z)$

odd:  $f(x) = -f(-x)$

if system has parity it is even or odd and that can be represented by parity operator  $\hat{P}$

$\hat{P} g_i = c_i g_i$   $g_i$  - odd -  $c_i = -1$   $g_i$  eigen fct of  $\hat{P}$

$= g_i(-x, -y, -z)$   $c_i$  - even -  $c_i = 1$  all possible even/odd fct

if  $[\hat{H}, \hat{P}] = 0$  these eigen fct of  $\hat{H}$  must be even/odd

$[\hat{H}, \hat{P}] = 0$   $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (-x)^2} f(-x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(x)$

but  $[\hat{H}, \hat{V}]$  depends on form of potential

e.g.  $V = \frac{1}{2} kx^2$  (Hooke's law spring) even -- square of coordinate

$V = -\frac{e^2}{r}$  (electrostatic attraction) even -- depends only on distance, not direction

$V = -eE(x)$  (change in electric field is directional - odd)

**Thm 7** -- If potential  $V$  is an even fct, can choose stationary states  $\psi_i$  to be even or odd

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{P}] = [\hat{H}, \hat{H} + \hat{V}] = [\hat{H}, \hat{H}] + [\hat{H}, \hat{V}] = 0 + 0 \quad V = \text{even}$$

ex. 1 let  $V = +1$  for  $x > 1$ ,  $-1$  for  $x < -1$

$$V = -1 \quad -1 > x > -1$$

this is even,  $\psi_i$  has definite parity, is eigen fct of parity operator

These concepts are central to applications of group theory and symmetry to molecular q.m. problems and spectroscopy.

$$[\hat{P}, V]f = (Vf) - V(Pf) = V(-x)f(-x) - V(x)f(x)$$

**Test:**

$$= 0 \text{ if } V \text{ even} \quad 0 \text{ if } V \text{ odd or mixed parity}$$

Probability amplitudes and superposition of states (*Levine 7.6*)

recall make a measurement on some normalized state  $\psi$

$$\langle \alpha | \psi \rangle = \sum_i c_i \langle \alpha | i \rangle = \sum_j c_j^* \langle j | \alpha \rangle = \sum_j |c_j|^2 a_j$$

$$\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \sum_i p_i a_i$$

so measurement is some weighted coverage over several eigen values of

$$p_i \text{ is } |c_i|^2 \text{ or probability of measuring each } a_i$$

**Thm 8** -- Measurement of property corresponding to  $\hat{A}$  in  $|\psi\rangle$  has a probability of  $a_i$  equal to  $|c_i|^2$  where  $c_i$  is expansion coeff:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum c_i g_i \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{A} g_i = a_i g_i$$

[If  $a_i$  degenerate, probability is sum of  $|c_i|^2$  for degenerate  $a_i$ ]

recall:  $c_i = \langle g_i | \psi \rangle$  --called the probability amplitude (*Levine*)

**Thm 9** Probability of observing  $a_i$  (for  $|\psi\rangle = \sum c_i |g_i\rangle$ ) if  $a_i$  is non-degenerate is  $|c_i|^2$  for state  $|\psi\rangle$  -- thus more similar  $g_i$  and  $|\psi\rangle$ , the more similar will be  $\langle \hat{A} \rangle$  and  $a_i$

*Friday--September 1*

Time evolution of expectation value: (*Atkins, Ch 5.5*)